ALLOCATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN (ADMP)

ENVIRONMENT SELECT COMMITTEE 4 SEPTEMBER 2012

| Report of the: | Deputy Chief Executive and Director of Community and Planning Services |
|----------------|--|
| Status: | For consideration |
| Key Decision: | Yes |

Executive Summary:

This report provides an update on the Allocations and Development Management Plan (ADMP). The ADMP has been revised to ensure it is consistent with the principles and policies set out in the Government's new National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, March 2012). Once the ADMP is adopted as a Development Plan Document (DPD), together with the Core Strategy, it will replace all of the remaining saved policies of the Sevenoaks District Local Plan.

The comments received on the supplementary site allocations consultations (March-May and June - August 2012) are also reported, together with the Council's response to these comments. Some of these comments raised issues that required further consideration and discussion with key parties, which is covered in this report.

The proposed allocation on one site (GSK, Powder Mill Lane, Leigh) is to be finalised in September, as the Council is awaiting feedback from a parish/resident working group that has been formed to assist in the detail of the allocation.

A pre-NPPF draft of the ADMP was previously considered by the Environment Select Committee and LDF Advisory Group in March 2012. The version that is now reported is called the Pre-Submission version, and this is the document which the Council would wish to see submitted for independent examination. A formal decision to publish this pre-submission version of the ADMP will be made through Cabinet and Full Council in the autumn.

The report is accompanied by a Green Belt Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) which provides additional interpretation of the Green Belt policies set out in the Allocations and Development Management Plan.

This report supports all the key aims of the Community Plan

| Portfolio Holder | Cllr. Mrs Davison |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Head of Service | Group Manager Planning – Mr Alan Dyer |

Recommendation: That the revised Allocations and Development Management Plan is noted and supported and that the Plan be recommended to Cabinet and Full Council for

pre-submission publication.

Reason for recommendation: To progress the publication and adoption of the Allocations and Development Management Plan.

Background

- 1 The Allocations and Development Management Plan (ADMP) contains proposals for the development of key sites and detailed development management policies which, in combination with Core Strategy policies, will provide the framework against which future development proposals will be assessed and determined. The ADMP is required to be consistent with the adopted Core Strategy and in general conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Once the ADMP is adopted as a Development Plan Document (DPD), together with the Core Strategy, it will replace all of the remaining saved policies of the Sevenoaks District Local Plan. The draft document can be taken into account in determining planning applications, but is only afforded limited weight at this stage, until it has been externally examined and adopted. The document is provided at Appendix A and the related site allocations pro-forma at Appendix B. All appendices are available online and hard copies can be provided to Members on request.
- 2 Several consultation rounds have taken place on draft proposals for site allocations, development management policies and open space allocations. The most recent consultations focused on ten supplementary site allocations, which are referenced in further detail in this report:
 - January March 2010 Allocations (Options) consultation
 - May August 2011 Development Management Policies consultation
 - September November 2011 Open Space Allocations consultation
 - March May 2012 Supplementary Site Allocations consultation (10 sites)
 - June August 2012 Supplementary consultation on Broom Hill, Swanley
- 3 Reports on this document have been considered by Environment Select Committee in January 2012 and again in March 2012, which outlined that there were significant external factors that were affecting our ability to finalise the document, namely the awaited publication of the NPPF and issues with some potential site allocations. The NPPF was published on 27 March 2012 and it sets out the Government's planning policies and how these should be applied. It replaces all previous government planning guidance (which was previously in the form of PPS/PPG) and local planning policy is required to be consistent with this Framework.
- 4 The proposed timetable for adoption of this document is that the finalised DPD is to be submitted for Cabinet and Full Council approval in autumn 2012 with Presubmission publication in winter 2012.

| Date | Stage |
|---------------------------|---|
| Autumn 2012 | Committee / Cabinet sign-off of pre- submission plan |
| ESC (4 September) | |
| LDFAG (3 October) | |
| Cabinet (11 October) | |
| Full Council (16 October) | |
| Winter 2012 | Pre-submission publication consultation |
| | Green Belt SPD consultation |
| January 2013 | Submission |
| May 2013 | Independent Hearing - 'Examination' |
| August 2013 | Inspectors Report |
| October 2013 | Adoption |

Development Management Policies – Update

- 5 The Development Management policies have now been combined with the Site Allocations document, to produce the joint Allocations and Development Management Plan. The Development Management section of the document sets out the policies against which planning applications will be determined. All the policies have been reviewed to ensure consistency with the finalised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), including the presumption in favour of sustainable development and positive planning.
- 6 The key NPPF-related changes to the document are set out below:
 - A. Insertion within Policy SC1 (Presumption in favour of sustainable development) of model policy wording on sustainable development provided by the Planning Inspectorate and it currently appears to be mandatory for all DPDs to include this policy. The policy summarizes the key facets of the NPPF and it states that the Council will reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the NPPF.
 - B. Changes to policies on re-use of playing fields (Policy SC6) and open space (Policy GI2) to reflect the criteria set out in the NPPF, namely, redevelopment of these assets only:
 - if it is surplus to requirements

- the loss is mitigated by equivalent replacement provision
- the development is for alternative sports/recreational use
- C. Green Belt Policy GB1 (Re-use of buildings within the Green Belt) has been revised to reflect the fact that the NPPF no longer gives priority to re-use in business or commercial use over residential. The only criteria in the NPPF related to re-use of buildings in the Green Belt, provided openness is maintained, is if they are of permanent and substantial construction. GB1 has therefore been updated to focus on these criteria.
- D. Additional policies in the Green Belt chapter to reflect the change in the NPPF whereby the proportionate extension/alteration/replacement of buildings (previously dwellings) is now not inappropriate development in the Green Belt. New Policy GB2 relates to extensions to non residential buildings in the green belt and new Policy GB3 relates to the replacement of non residential buildings in the green belt. Since the new approach covers a much wider range of potential proposals, from the extension or replacement of a small workshop to that of a very large scale warehouse, the Council does not consider that it would be appropriate to include a floor space figure to guide what is acceptable. These policies are therefore similar to the corresponding policies related to residential extensions/replacement in the Green Belt (H4 and H5) but are more design/impact led and do not include a floorspace limit figure.
- E. A Green Belt Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) has been prepared which provides additional interpretation of the Green Belt policies set out in the Allocations and Development Management Plan (see Appendix C). It covers new buildings and provides a local interpretation of NPPF Policy, which allows for limiting infilling in villages, provided it does not have an adverse impact on the openness of the Green Belt. The document also covers conversions, extensions, replacement dwellings, commercial development, agriculture, leisure, change of use and it provides design guidance and worked examples of how policies will be applied. This document will be reported back to committee for review, together with any consultation comments received, prior to its adoption.
- F. Green Belt boundary review further representations have been received in relation to the land at Billings Hill Shaw, Hartley, requesting that this land be *included* in the Green Belt. The site and previous planning history have been reviewed and it is considered that there are exceptional circumstances in this instance, which relate to the character of the land, the rational boundary of the road and previous comments of the Local Plan Inspector and Council, which would warrant a change to the Green Belt boundary as proposed (see plan and written justification on P.30 of ADMP).
- G. The Housing chapter now includes reference to a Young Person's Unit in Sevenoaks, as requested by the Council's housing department. The supporting text states that the Council will work with partners and landowners to identify and bring forward a suitable site, well connected to the town centre. The supporting text also supports the provision of housing

to meets the needs of older people and those in special need of help or supervision.

- H. The Transport chapter now includes a new policy on the provision of electric vehicle charging points (Policy T3). The policy aims to encourage a shift to low emission vehicles by promoting a network of charging points in appropriate locations. In order to future-proof the policy, it takes a flexible approach, with the initial emphasis being on determining suitable locations rather than imposing a rigid standard.
- I. In relation to the previous Major Developed Sites (MDS), a designation which is no longer included within the NPPF, these have now been re-classified as Major Developed Employment Sites (MDES) in the Green Belt. The ADMP identifies these MDES in a new Policy EMP2 as important employment generating sites that differ in scale from other previously developed land in the Green Belt
- J. The draft policy on Out of Centre Retail (LC6) has been deleted, as the finalised NPPF now requires the location of new retail and leisure developments to be subject to a sequential test and permission to be refused where the application fails to satisfy the sequential test. Therefore there is no need to repeat this policy in the ADMP.

Site Allocations – Update

7 The Council undertook a supplementary consultation (March – May 2012) on ten proposed site allocations, nine of which were previously allocated for a different use within the draft document, and one of which is a new site. Neighbouring properties, local stakeholders, statutory consultees and the LDF mailing list were all sent copies of this consultation, which was also publicised on our website and by press release. The consultation on Broom Hill Swanley was extended for six weeks (June – August 2012), following feedback from local representatives. The sites are listed below, together with the number of consultation responses received. Further information on the comments received on each site, and the Council's response to these comments is set out in the consultation statement at Appendix D.

| Site Location | 2010 Draft Allocation | Use Proposed in Consultation | Number of consultation comments |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Bovis Manor House site, New Ash Green | Not included Current use - office | Residential | 32 |
| Currant Hill Allotments, Westerham | Allotments (with reference that any future development would require replacement allotments) | Residential, with allotment re- provision on adjacent site | 16 |

| Station Approach, Edenbridge | Employment (builders merchants) | Mixed use – employment and residential | 21 |
|---|--|--|---|
| Leigh's Builders Yard, Edenbridge | Employment (vacant builders yard) | Residential | 10 |
| GSK, Leigh | 'Major Developed Site' (Vacant employment site) | Residential with limited retained employment | 19 |
| Warren Court Farm, Halstead | Employment (offices and workshops) | Residential (including Green Belt amendment) | 10 |
| Broom Hill, Swanley | Allocated for Employment and open space | Employment, open space and residential | 46 (plus 19 from supplementary consultation) |
| United House, Swanley | Allocated for mixed- use- employment & residential | Residential | 28 |
| Land rear of Premier Inn, Swanley | Allocated for Residential | Employment site | 6 |
| West Kingsdown Industrial Estate | Allocated for Residential | Employment site | 3 |

8 The main issues raised on the sites through the supplementary consultations are set out below

Bovis Manor House, New Ash Green

This is a site that was not included in the 2010 allocations consultation. It is currently in employment use, but Bovis have indicated that they wish to re-locate elsewhere in the district. The proposal that was subject to consultation was to allocate the Manor House site for residential development. The main issues raised in consultation were: concern regarding loss of employment space, impact on surrounding residential area, impact on infrastructure, particularly highways and parking issues, and concern over density. SDC has met with local stakeholders to explore whether an alternative form of development may be more acceptable and the allocation now incorporates the following revisions:

- Density reduced to better reflect density of surrounding housing (30 dwellings per ha, previously 50) and properties should reflect local building heights.
- Reference to Tree Preservation Orders on the site and that development should not result in the loss or harm to any of these trees.
- Highlighted that parking will need to be provided within the site.
- Note referencing the village covenant

Allocation of the site for employment was not considered appropriate since the site was not originally identified in the Council's Employment Land Review, SDC's updated employment land forecast (2011) suggests no growth is required in B1 office space and there are more sustainable office locations within the District. It is considered that a commercial site in this location is unlikely to attract a substantial office occupier. The option of mixed use development was discounted due to the limited size of the site and questionable viability of this proposal. The allocation of the site for a care home was considered too specific with limited evidence to support this use, although reference is made in the allocation that the site may be suitable for housing for older people – which will be further explored through the parish's neighbourhood planning process. The site allocation for New Ash Green village centre states that proposals should include employment development.

Current Hill Allotments, Westerham

The consultation set out the proposal to re-allocate the lower southern portion of the allotment site for a small residential development and to re-provide equivalent allotments on the land to the north of the existing site. The main issues raised in consultation were: concern regarding access to the site and the relocation of the allotments. The Town Council supports the allocation, but proposes some amendments in relation to phasing, map notations and that the development is subject to further consultation with the local community. SDC has amended the allocation to reflect Town Council comments and clarified that the access will be from London Road and not from Rysted Lane.

Station Approach, Edenbridge

The Council considers that a mixed use scheme is an appropriate and more efficient use of this site. The consultation proposed to re-designate the site for mixed use development, comprising employment and residential uses. The main issues raised in consultation were: concerns regarding access to the site and parking, impact on existing vegetation/wildlife, impact on existing infrastructure and amenity of future occupiers due to the proximity to the railway. The Town Council was supportive of the change of use of this site from employment to mixed use. SDC has amended the allocation to reflect comments regarding access.

Leigh's Builders Yard, Edenbridge

This site gained outline planning permission on 13th April 2012 (reference SE/11/02929) for a mixed use development including 7 residential units. In light of the fact that permission has been granted and the principle established for residential redevelopment, in line with other allocations that have been granted planning permission (for example, Garden Cottages, Leigh, reference 12/01055/FUL), the site has been removed from the allocations document. Housing Policy H1 states that the Council supports the implementation of existing residential planning permissions that have been granted on sites within the District.

GSK, Powder Mills, Leigh

This site was previously designated as a 'Major Developed Site' (MDS) in the Sevenoaks District Local Plan (2000) and was carried forward in the Core Strategy (adopted February 2011). This designation was applied because of the built-up form of the commercial site, located in the Green Belt. GSK recently ceased their pharmaceutical operations and have closed the site. The Council commissioned independent consultants URS to consider the potential for re-use of the site in employment use. The report concluded that complete take-up of the site in employment use is very unlikely to be achievable or viable, and that residential redevelopment with the retention of a smaller portion of the site for employment would be the most sound option based on current and future employment trends. Therefore the consultation proposed to re-designate the site for residential-led mixed use development. The main issues raised in consultation were: remoteness (sustainability) of site, lack of/impact on local infrastructure including schools and highways, environmental impact, housing 'quota' already exceeded, flooding and construction. The Parish Council and local residents objected to the proposal and SDC is working with these local representatives to explore whether an alternative configuration of development may be more acceptable. The local stakeholder working group have not yet reported back at the time of finalising this report and therefore the allocation will be finalised in September.

Warren Court Farm, Halstead

The consultation proposed that this site be reallocated from employment to residential development, with a concurrent amendment of the green belt boundary to bring this site within the village envelope. The recommendation was based on the fact that the revised allocation would result in the regeneration of an existing poor quality commercial site without having an adverse impact upon the character and openness of the Green belt. The main issues raised in consultation were: concerns regarding loss of employment space, the need for improvement in footway access and support for a woodland buffer. The Parish Council stated a preference for the retention of the employment land or provision of affordable housing for local people.

The site was identified in the Employment Land Review (2009) as the last remaining poor quality site and SDC's recent (2011) employment forecast suggests a reduction in need for light industrial B1c and no growth in B1 offices. Retaining the existing poor quality employment site in the green belt with an allocation to expand is not considered appropriate and therefore the proposed allocation is for residential development, with remediation and environmental improvements, including a woodland buffer. The environmental improvement area has been incorporated into the site boundary to facilitate management and maintenance and therefore the site capacity has been amended to 15 dwellings to reflect a modification in the boundary.

In relation to exceptions sites for affordable housing, a local needs assessment and site selection process would need to be undertaken and therefore the site cannot be allocated for this use. Existing uses on the site mean that there are likely to be viability issues in terms of whether this site would be promoted as an exceptions site solely for affordable housing.

Broom Hill, Swanley

The site was allocated for employment use in the Sevenoaks District Local Plan (2000) and this was carried through into the Core Strategy (2011) as a strategic allocation. The site is 8.1ha, but only 4.1ha of the site is required to be developed for employment purposes. The consultation sought to consider what other uses are appropriate on the remainder of the site (4ha). The consultation proposed to designate the site for mixed use development, comprising employment (4.1ha), open space and residential. The main issues raised in consultation were: concerns regarding the housing proposals in terms of impact on amenity and congestion on Beechenlea Lane (and wider highways network), loss of open space / habitat / wildlife on Broom Hill, pollution and buffer zone to M25. The Town Council and local residents objected to the proposal, primarily in relation to the residential element of the proposals. SDC met with local representatives to discuss the proposals and understand the strength of local opposition, in relation to the issues as set out above. On balance, and taking account of community views, particularly in relation to the loss of open space, which provides a visual break in development, habitat and a buffer between the existing residential development and the proposed employment space/M25, the residential element has been removed from the site allocation. The western side of site will be allocated as protected open space (natural/semi-natural land) and the employment allocation (4.1ha) will be retained on the eastern side of the site.

The former nursery has not been included in the allocation, as it is not of the same environmental quality as the adjacent open space, and also there is no vehicular means of access to the site. The consultation period on this site was extended (June –August 2012) to fully consult with affected residents and stakeholders and to allow for additional comments. SDC staff discussed options with Swanley Town Council and presented proposals at a residents' association meeting in July 2012.

United House, Swanley

The site capacity has been increased to 250 units as a result of the boundary amendment, the proposal to allocate the site purely for residential (rather than mixed use) and works/evidence presented by the owner to show how key constraints have been overcome. Therefore the proposal is to designate the site as a residential allocation with increased capacity. The main issues raised in consultation were: concerns regarding infrastructure capacity, density, loss of employment and impact on adjacent employment use, runoff and drainage, access and impact on wildlife.

Regarding the loss of an employment site, the owners have provided marketing evidence to suggest there would be little interest in re-use of the site. The site lacks a visible frontage, has limited access and contains an out-of-date facility which would need to be refurbished /redeveloped. The existing owners intend to relocate their business within Swanley.

Kent Highways Services have not raised a concern regarding transport impacts and access to this site. The allocation notes that the design, layout and orientation of the scheme is important in ensuring a satisfactory relationship with the adjacent commercial use, and that parking, landscaping and open space may be used to provide a buffer

SDC has discussed the site with the Town Council and has included references in the allocation that the site is suitable for a range of housing types, including family housing and that consideration should be given to the most suitable mix of affordable housing, included shared ownership and housing specifically designed for older people.

Land rear of Premier Inn, Swanley

The 2010 consultation proposed the allocation of the former Déjà vu site and employment area to the rear for a residential development. Since this consultation, a Premier Inn hotel and Beefeater restaurant have been built on the site of the former Déjà vu nightclub and therefore this area has been removed from the allocation. The recent consultation proposed that the remainder of the site be protected as an existing employment site. The Town Council and local stakeholders were supportive of the proposal to protect the existing employment site and not promote residential. SDC therefore propose to allocate this site as a protected employment use.

West Kingsdown Industrial Estate

The 2010 consultation proposed the allocation of this site for a residential development, as this was promoted by the owner of the site. However, the site is considered to be functioning well as an employment site, the site and buildings in good condition and it is of similar quality and build to the Blue Chalet Industrial Park at the northern end of West Kingsdown, which is an allocated protected employment site. Therefore the consultation proposed the allocation of the site as an existing employment site. The Parish Council were supportive of the proposal to protect the existing employment site and not promote residential. SDC therefore propose to allocate this site as a protected employment use.

9 The following sites have also been updated since the draft that was considered by committee in March 2012, where the Council has worked with site promoters and local stakeholders to finalise the allocations:

Land West of Blighs Meadow, Sevenoaks

This site is identified as a key development site in the Core Strategy. The Council's overall aim is to secure a comprehensive development of this site for a range of uses, compatible with the existing town centre that will enhance the overall attraction for residents and visitors. The site is appropriate for mixed use town centre development, and should comprise a mix of retail floorspace, residential apartments, car parking spaces and space for a market. The Council has now identified the site as having a capacity for 22 residential units and that the retail element may comprise a single large format store provided it meets the requirements of the allocation. The Council is currently considered a planning application of this site for a retail-led mixed use development.

Swanley Town Centre

Regeneration of Swanley town centre is a key proposal of the Core Strategy. The Council's aim is to secure regeneration via a comprehensive retail led redevelopment, which will include provision of retail, replacement car parking, medical and community facilities and new pedestrian/cycle link to Swanley station. In relation to housing, priority is to be given to any residential development complimenting the most appropriate mix of town centre uses, and therefore an indicative capacity for housing is not indicated in the allocation. The centre owners have previously been advocating a redevelopment extending onto the adjoining recreation ground which is controlled by Swanley Town Council. The Town Council wrote to SDC in May 2012 stating that they do not wish the recreation ground to be considered for development in relation to the expansion of the town centre. The Town Centre boundary has therefore not been amended in the ADMP and does not include any part of the recreation ground.

Land East of High Street, Sevenoaks

The Core Strategy outlines that approximately 4000sqm retail floorspace needs to be provided in Sevenoaks town centre in the plan period up to 2026. The development of the land west of Blighs Meadow is now likely to fulfil this requirement and therefore any redevelopment of the land east of the High Street is likely to take place in the longer term, beyond the current plan period. This site has therefore been removed from the allocations document, but we will review through future monitoring whether there is a need in the longer term to bring forward any additional land for town centre development.

10 The proposed number of housing units from residential and mixed use development allocations is set out below (with a comparison to the number of units indicated in the 2010 consultation draft), together with a summary of the housing supply components.

| PROPOSED HOUSING ALLOCATIONS (POLICY H1) | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| REF | RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SETTLEMENT/SITE ADDRESS | APPROXIMATE NO. UNITS | 2010 CONSULTN |
| | Sevenoaks Urban Area | | - |
| H1(a) | Car Park, Hitchen Hatch Lane | 17 | 10 |
| H1(b) | Cramptons Road Water Works, Cramptons | 50 | 55 |
| H1(c) | Sevenoaks Gasholder Station, Cramptons Road | 35 | 48 |
| H1(d) | School House. Oak Lane & Hopgarden Lane | 19 | 37 |
| H1(e) | Johnsons. Oak Lane & Hopgarden Lane | 18 | 34 |
| H1 (f) | Greatness Mill, Mill Lane | 20 | 20 |
| | Sub Total | 159 | 204 |
| | Swanley | | |
| H1(g) | United House. Goldsel Road | 250 | 116 |
| H1(h) | Bevan Place | 46 | 52 |
| H1(i) | Bus Garage/Kingdom Hall. London Road | 30 | 20 |
| H1(i) | Land West of Cherry Avenue | 50 | 75 |
| | Sub Total | 376 | 263 |
| | Other Settlements | | _ |
| H1(k) | 57 Top Dartford Road. Hextable | 14 | 14 |
| H1(I) | Foxs Garage. London Road. Badgers Mount | 15 | 18 |
| H1(m) | Land adjacent to London Road, Westerham | 30 | 30 |
| H1(n) | Currant Hill Allotments. Westerham | 20 | n/a |
| H1(o) | Land at Croft Road, Westerham | 15 | 19 |
| (q)1H | The Manor House. New Ash Green | 30 | n/a |
| H1 (a) | Warren Court. Halstead | 15 | n/a |
| | Sub Total | 139 | 94 |
| | TOTAL | 674 | 561 |

PROPOSED UNITS FROM MIXED USE ALLOCATIONS (POLICY H2)

| REF | MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT SETTLEMENT/SITE ADDRESS | INDICATIVE SITE CAPACITY NO. UNITS | 2010 CONSULTN |
|-------|--|--|------------------|
| H2(a) | Land West of Bligh's Meadow, Sevenoaks | 22 | 59 |
| H2(b) | Post Office/Bt Exchange. South Park. Sevenoaks | 25 | n/a |
| H2(d) | Swanley Centre, Nightingale Way, Swanley | 0 | 128 |
| H2(e) | Station Approach, Edenbridge | 20 | n/a |
| H2(f) | New Ash Green Village Centre, New Ash Green | 50 | 50 |
| H2(g) | Powder Mills (Former GSK Site), Leigh* | 100 | n/a |
| _ | | | _ |
| | TOTAL | 217 | 237 |

*please note that the proposed allocation on Powder Mills (Former GSK site), Leigh is to be finalised in September, as the Council is awaiting feedback from a parish/resident working group that has been formed to assist in the detail of the allocation.

| Summary of Housing Supply Components as at 1 April 2012 | No. of units |
|--|--------------|
| Completions 2006 – 2012 | 1,360 |
| Permissions (at 01.04.2012) | 970 |
| Windfall Allowance Small Sites (2017 - 2026) | 450 |
| Permissions granted on proposed allocations since 01.04.2012 (Leigh Builders Yard, Edenbridge & Garden Cottages, Leigh) | 20 |
| Proposed Housing Allocations (See Policy H1 table above) | 674 |
| Proposed units from Mixed Use Allocations (See Policy H2 table above) | 217 |
| TOTAL | 3,691 |

Conclusion and Next Steps

- 11 The ADMP has been reviewed and updated in relation to the publication of the NPPF and progress on allocated site. The report enables Members to consider changes to the plan, and recent consultee representations on site allocations.
- 12 It is recommended that the revised Allocations and Development Management Plan be noted and supported and that the Plan be recommended to Cabinet and Full Council for pre-submission publication.
- 13 Following publication there will be a further opportunity to make representations before submission for independent examination to confirm the soundness of the plan.

Options

14 The options are to agree, vary or reject the document. The document is considered appropriate to assist in achieving the detailed objectives of the Core Strategy.

Key Implications

Financial

Budgetary provision has been made for the cost involved in preparing the Allocations and Development Management Plan. Combining the Allocations and development policies into one document will achieve a significant budget saving in publication and examination costs compared with maintaining two separate DPDs.

Community Impact and Outcomes, Equality and Sustainability Impacts

These issues are addressed in the preparation of the documents concerned.

The Council has undertaken Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of the draft sites and policies, which have been published alongside the consultation documents, to ensure that the decision-making process takes into account the Government's key objective of Sustainable Development. The purpose of this document is to appraise a number of alternative approaches to Site Allocations and Development Management Policies that have emerged (subsequent to previous iterations of the policies). The appraisal findings from this SA have informed the preparation of the pre-submission publication plan.

The Council has undertaken an Equalities Impact Assessment (EQIA) of the draft ADMP, to ensure that the decision-making process takes into account equalities issues. The EQIA assesses if there is anything in the policy document that could discriminate or put anyone at a disadvantage, particularly in relation to hard to reach groups. The EQIA concludes that the ADMP does not have a differential impact which will adversely affect any groups in the community.

Legal, Human Rights etc.

The preparation of an LDF is a requirement under planning legislation. The adopted Allocations and Development Management Plan will form part of the "Development Plan" and has special status in the determination of planning applications. Production of DPDs is in accordance with the Town and Country Planning Local Development (England) Regulations 2004 (as amended).

Risk Assessment

LDF documents are subject to independent examination and the principal risk involved with their preparation is that the examination finds the document to be unsound. The Allocations and Development Management Plan must be in accordance with the Core Strategy and other parts of the development plan and national planning guidance. The document will progress to publication in which the Council will be required to meet the requirements as set out in the Town and Country Planning Local Development (England) Regulations, at which time it will formally seek the views of key stakeholders in accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement.

Appendices

A Allocations and Development Management Plan

(available online)B Site Allocations Pro Forma (available online)C Green Belt Supplementary Planning Document
(available online)D Supplementary consultation responses (available
online)Background Papers:Core Strategy, adopted February 2011
Supplementary Site Allocations consultation March
2012Contact Officer(s):Hannah Gooden Ext 7189

Kristen Paterson Deputy Chief Executive and Director of Community and Planning Services